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1. Pending Legislation
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Texas Legislature – Pending Legislation

**Hospitals**

S.B. 424 (Relating to the licensing and regulation of hospitals)

- An applicant for the issuance of a hospital license or renewal of a hospital license must provide the DSHS with a surety bond in an amount sufficient to cover the costs associated with:
  - The storage of medical records for 10 years if the hospital is closed;
  - Any court-appointed trustee to operate the hospital.
S.B. 424 (Relating to the licensing and regulation of hospitals)

• The DSHS shall conduct an inspection of each licensed hospital at least once every 3 years.

• The DSHS may issue an emergency order to suspend a license if the DSHS has reasonable cause to believe that the conduct of a license holder creates an immediate danger to public health or safety.

• The emergency suspension is effective immediately without a hearing on notice to the license holder.

S.B. 424 (Relating to the licensing and regulation of hospitals)

• The DSHS may request the AG to bring an action in the name of the State for the appointment of a trustee to operate a hospital if (1) the hospital is operating without a license; (2) the DSHS has suspended or revoked the license; (3) license suspension or revocation procedures are pending and the DSHS determines that an imminent threat to patients exists; (4) the DSHS determines that an emergency exists that presents an immediate threat to patients; or (5) the hospital is closing and arrangements for relocation of patients to other licensed institutions have not been made before closure.
H.B. 1008 (Relating to the establishment of a program for the transfer of unused drugs to public hospitals)

• To the extent allowed by Federal law, the DSHS shall establish a program under which a hospital or another health care facility may transfer to the DSHS or another designated entity unused drugs that the facility received reimbursement for the cost of under the Medicaid program.
• No payment for the transfer.

S.B. 373 and H.B. 938 (Relating to increased oversight by the DSHS of hospitals that commit certain violations)

• If the DSHS finds that a hospital has committed a violation that resulted in a potentially preventable adverse event which is reportable under Chapter 98 of the Texas Health & Safety Code, the DSHS shall require the hospital to develop and implement a plan for approval by the DSHS to address the deficiencies that may have contributed to the preventable adverse event. The plan shall include:
  • Staff training and education;
  • Supervision requirements for certain staff;
  • Increased staffing requirements;
  • Increased reporting to the DSHS; and
  • A review and amendment of hospital policies
H.B. 695 (Relating to the carrying of a concealed handgun on hospital or nursing home premises)

- A private hospital or nursing home may adopt rules prohibiting a concealed handgun license holder from carrying a handgun on its premises only if:
  - The facility stations a commissioned security officer who is wearing the officer’s uniform and carrying the officer’s weapon in plain view at each entrance to the facility; and
  - The facility gives effective notice under Section 30.06 of the Penal Code.
- Under current Section 30.06 of Penal Code, it is an offense if the license holder: (1) carries a handgun on the property of another without effective consent; and (2) received notice that: (A) entry on the property by a license holder with a concealed handgun was forbidden; or (B) remaining on the property with a concealed handgun was forbidden and failed to depart. (b) For purposes of this section, a person receives notice if the owner of the property or someone with apparent authority to act for the owner provides notice to the person by oral or written communication.

S.B. 359 (Relating to the authority of a peace officer to apprehend a person for emergency detention and the authority of certain facilities to temporarily detain a person with mental illness)

- A facility may detain a person who voluntarily requested treatment or who lacks capacity to consent to treatment if:
  - The person expresses a desire to leave the facility or attempts to leave before the examination or treatment is completed and
  - A physician at the facility (1) has reason to believe and does believe that the person has mental illness and because of that mental illness there is a substantial risk of serious harm to the person or to others unless the person is immediately restrained; and (2) believes that there is not sufficient time to file an application for emergency detention.
- The facility shall notify the person if it intends to detain the person under this section.
Texas Legislature – Pending Legislation

S.B. 359 (Relating to the authority of a peace officer to apprehend a person for emergency detention and the authority of certain facilities to temporarily detain a person with mental illness)

- The physician shall document a decision to detain a person in the patient’s medical record. The medical record must contain (1) a statement that the physician has reason to believe and does believe that the person is mentally ill; (2) a statement that the physician has reason to believe that the person evidence a substantial risk of serious harm to self or others; (3) a specific description of the risk of harm; (4) a statement that the physician has reason to believe that the risk of harm is imminent unless the person is immediately restrained; (5) a statement that the physician’s beliefs are derived from specific behavior, overt acts, attempts or threats that were observed by or reliably reported to the physician; and (6) a detailed description of the specific behavior, acts, attempts or threats.
- Period of detention may not exceed 4 hours.
- The facility shall release the patient by end of 4 hour period unless the facility arranges for a peace officer to take the person into custody.
- Facility that acts in good faith and without malice is not civilly or criminally liable.

Texas Legislature – Pending Legislation

H.B. 977 (Relating to expanding eligibility for medical assistance to certain persons under PPACA)

- To the extent funds are appropriated to the Commission for that purpose, the Commission shall provide medical assistance to all persons who apply for that assistance for whom federal matching funds are available under PPACA to provide that assistance.
- Does not authorize the Commission to provide medical assistance to undocumented immigrants.
H.B. 1041 (Relating to administrative and judicial review of certain Medicaid reimbursement disputes)

- A provider has the right to a contested case hearing to dispute the amount of a reimbursement rate paid to the provider under the fee-for-service Medicaid program or by a managed care organization under the managed care Medicaid program if the provider maintains that the rate is below the rate necessary to recover the provider’s reasonable operating expenses and to realize a reasonable return on the provider’s investments that is sufficient to ensure confidence in the provider’s continued financial integrity.
- Exhaustion of contractual remedies with a managed care organization or its agent is not a prerequisite to a contested case hearing.
- Judicial review is available, except that party seeking judicial review must file suit not later than the 45th day after the date notice of the decision made by the hearing officer was mailed.

H.B. 694 (Relating to coverage for supplemental breast cancer screening under certain health benefit plans)

- An issuer of a health benefit plan that provides coverage for mammography, including coverage for low-dose mammography must also offer to provide coverage for supplemental breast cancer screening as part of an annual well-woman examination if the provider screening the patient for breast cancer finds that the patient has
  - Dense breast tissue;
  - Additional risk factors determined by the Health and Human Services Commissioner that warrant supplemental breast cancer screening beyond mammography.
- An additional premium may be charged for the supplemental breast cancer screening.
- Applies to health benefit plans that provide benefits for medical or surgical expenses and small employer health benefit plans.
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H.B. 616 (Relating to Payment of Out-of-Network Charges)

- An insurer must use a charge-based methodology for computing a payment for a service provided by an out-of-network provider if the provider submits a clean claim that includes a certification of the usual and customary charge for the service determined by a database provider or a certification that there are not sufficient reported charges in the database provider’s database to establish the usual and customary charge.

- “Usual and customary charge” means a charge for a service, classified by geozip area (all areas with same 1st 3 digits of zip code) and CPT code, that is in the 90th percentile of the charges for that service reported to a database provider.

- “Database provider” means a nonprofit database provider certified by the TDI.

- If an OON provider submits a clean claim that includes a database certification indicating that the billed charge is not higher than the usual and customary charge, the insurer shall pay the lesser of the billed charge or the usual and customary charge minus insured’s responsibility.

- If the certification indicates the charge is higher than the usual and customary charge, the insurer shall pay the billed charge if the billed charge is justifiable considering special circumstances under which the services are provided. If no special circumstances, the insurer shall pay usual and customary charge.

- If the certification indicates the database provider does not have sufficient information, insurer shall pay 80% of the billed charge or an amount equal to the 90th percentile of the charges for the service reported by the designated reimbursement information organization for providers in same geozip, whichever is less.
Texas Legislature – Pending Legislation

H.B. 574 (Relating to Operations of Managed Care Plans with Respect to Providers):

- An insurer may not terminate participation of a provider solely because the provider informs an enrollee of the full range of providers available to the enrollee, including OON providers.
- An insurer may not terminate, or threaten to terminate, an insured’s participation in a plan solely because the insured uses an OON provider.
- An insurer may not prohibit, penalize, terminate or otherwise restrict a preferred provider from communicating with an insured about the availability of OON providers.
- An insurer’s contract with a preferred provider may require that before an OON referral is made, the provider inform the insured that (1) the insured may choose an OON provider; (2) the insured may have a higher out-of-pocket expense with an OON provider and (3) whether the provider has a financial interest in the OON provider.
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H.B. 574 (Relating to Operations of Managed Care Plans with Respect to Providers):

- On request, an insurer shall provide to a practitioner whose participation in a preferred provider benefit plan is being terminated all information on which the insurer wholly or partly based the termination, including the economic profile of the preferred provider, the standards by which the provider is measured, and the statistics underlying the profile and standards.
S.B. 425 (Relating to health care information provided by and notice of facility fees charged by freestanding emergency medical care facilities):

- A FER shall post a notice that states the following:
  - That the FER is a FER and not an urgent care center;
  - Either that the FER does or does not participate in a provider network;
  - Any facility fee charged by the FER, including the minimum and maximum facility fee amounts charged per visit;
- The notice must
  - Identify the provider network and each physician at the FER who is excluded from the network;
  - State that the physician may bill separately from the FER and provide the minimum and maximum amounts the physician charges per visit.

- The notice must be posted prominently and conspicuously at the FER’s:
  - Primary entrance
  - Each patient treatment room; and
  - At each location at which a person pays for health care services.
Trends and Other Discussion Related to Legislation

RECENT ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS
Community Health Systems and three affiliated New Mexico hospitals (collectively CHS) have agreed to pay the United States $75 million to settle allegations that they violated the False Claims Act by making illegal donations to county governments which were used to fund the state share of Medicaid payments to the hospitals.

Under the Medicaid program, the Federal government funded three times the state share.


SSPHO and its member organizations, South Shore Hospital, Inc. and Physicians Organization of the South Shore, Inc. paid kickbacks in the form of cash grants to doctors who agreed to make referrals to SSPHO providers.

From 2001 to 2010, SSPHO approved 103 separate recruitment grants to 33 different physician groups. The recruitment grant program requested that grant recipients refer patients to participating providers, which included the South Shore Hospital.

$1.775 million settlement

**Enforcement Activity**

**Nason Medical (January 2015)**

- Nason Medical, out of Charleston, South Carolina, and two of its owners, Dr. Baron S. Nason and Robert T. Hamilton allegedly:
  - Submitted claims to Medicare and TRICARE for services that were provided by physician assistants, as though the services were provided by physicians. Both Medicare and TRICARE pay 85% of the physician fee schedules for services provided by mid-level providers like physician assistants;
  - Submitted claims for testing that was not medically indicated including laboratory tests and potentially harmful CT scans;
  - Submitted claims for radiological services provided by a radiology technician who did not hold a current South Carolina license; and
  - Submitted claims for Tetanus Immunoglobulin when Tetanus Toxoid was given which is considerably less expensive;
- $1.021 million settlement
- Qui Tam

**Easton Hospital (December 2014)**

- Easton Hospital billed Medicare for procedures which were not performed, were only partially completed, or were medically unnecessary.
- $662,000 Settlement
- Qui Tam
Enforcement Activity
Parkland Hospital (Dallas) (November 2014)

• Former employee at Parkland Hospital in Dallas pleaded guilty on 11/25/2014 to a federal felony offense stemming from his theft of patient information from the hospital.
• He faces a maximum statutory penalty of five years in federal prison and a $250,000 fine.
• As a registration specialist at Parkland Hospital, the employee entered patient information into Parkland’s computer system. Mathew used his position to obtain confidential patient information, including patients’ names, telephone numbers, dates of birth, participation in the Medicare program, and government-issued health insurance claim numbers.
• Former employee used the information to market his home health business.
• Additional Information:

Enforcement Activity
Hollywood Pavilion (November 2014)

• Former chief operating officer of a Miami-area hospital pleaded guilty for his role in a mental health care fraud scheme that resulted in the submission of more than $67 million in fraudulent claims to Medicare by a psychiatric hospital located in Hollywood, Florida.
• HP submitted false and fraudulent claims to Medicare for treatment that was not medically necessary or not provided to patients. The COO supervised HP’s staff at both its inpatient and outpatient facilities, where Medicare beneficiaries were admitted to HP regardless of whether they qualified for mental health treatment, and were often admitted before seeing a doctor.
• HP obtained Medicare beneficiaries from across the country by paying bribes and kickbacks to various patient brokers. The COO instructed the patient brokers to falsify invoices and marketing reports in an effort to hide, and cover up the true nature of the bribes and kickbacks they were receiving from HP. 4 colleagues have already been sentenced to prison terms ranging from 6-25 years for the same offenses.
Enforcement Activity  
Shelby Regional Medical Center-Tyler, Texas (November 2014)

- Former CFO of Shelby Regional Medical Center in Tyler oversaw the implementation of electronic health records for the hospital and was responsible for attesting to the meaningful use of electronic health records in order to qualify to receive incentive payments under Medicare’s Electronic Health Record (EHR) Incentive Program.
- On Nov. 20, 2012, White knowingly made a false statement to Medicare falsely representing that the hospital was a meaningful user of electronic health records, when the hospital did not meet the meaningful use requirements. As a result, Shelby Regional Medical Center received $785,655.00 from Medicare.
- Faces up to 5 years in prison.

Enforcement Activity  
Riverside General Hospital-Houston, Texas (October 2014)

- Hospital President and colleagues operated a scheme to defraud Medicare beginning in 2005 and continuing until June 2012. The defendants caused the submission of false and fraudulent claims for partial hospitalization program (PHP) services to Medicare through the hospital. A PHP is a form of intensive outpatient treatment for severe mental illness.
- Specifically, evidence at trial demonstrated that the Medicare beneficiaries for whom Riverside and its satellite locations billed Medicare for PHP services did not qualify for or need PHP services. Moreover, the Medicare beneficiaries rarely saw a psychiatrist and did not receive intensive psychiatric treatment. In fact, some of the Medicare beneficiaries were suffering from Alzheimer’s and could not actively participate in any treatment even if they actually qualified to receive PHP services.
- Kickbacks were paid to patient recruiters and to owners and operators of group care homes in exchange for those individuals delivering ineligible Medicare beneficiaries to the hospital’s PHPs.
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Josh and Ashley provide counsel to health care providers on complex operational, transactional and compliance issues. They have experience advising hospitals, ambulatory surgery centers, independent diagnostic testing facilities, laboratories, pharmacies, physicians and other health care providers on various issues, including matters implicating the Federal Anti-Kickback Statute, the Physician Self-Referral (“Stark”) Statute, the Texas Illegal Remuneration Statute, The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (“HIPAA”), the False Claims Act, and the Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (“EMTALA”), among many others. Josh and Ashley also advise clients with respect to reimbursement issues and payor audits. Their transactional experience includes drafting and negotiating a variety of health care contracts, including professional services agreements, physician employment agreements, asset purchase agreements, management and co-management agreements, business associate agreements, operating agreements, and equipment and space leases, among others. Josh and Ashley also assist clients in the formation and syndication of hospitals, ASCs, joint ventures, pharmacies, and laboratories.

Josh and Ashley are both Board Certified in Health Law by the Texas Board of Legal Specialization.
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